

Appln. No. 10/789,614
Amendment dated May 1, 2006
Reply to Office Action mailed January 11, 2006

Amendments to the Drawings

The attached sheets of drawings includes changes to Figs. 2. This sheet, which includes Fig. 1 and 2, replaces the original sheet including Fig. 1 and 2

Attachment: Replacement Sheets

REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 through 5, 11 through 16, and 18 remain in this application. Claims 6 through 11 and 17 have been cancelled. No claims are withdrawn or added.

The Examiner's rejections will be considered in the order of their occurrence in the Office Action.

Paragraphs 2 through 3 of the Office Action

The drawings have been objected to.

Submitted herewith are two replacement sheets of drawings of Figures 1 and 2. Specifically, in Figure 1, reference number "10" has been added and reference number "30" has been repositioned, and in Figure 2, reference number "30" has been removed and reference number "10" has been added.

In light of the proposed drawing amendment, it is therefore submitted that the objection to the drawings as originally filed has been overcome, and withdrawal of the objection to the drawings is respectfully requested.

Paragraphs 3 and 4 of the Office Action

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 11 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Graham et al.

Claim 3 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graham et al.

Claims 12 through 16 and 18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over the allegedly admitted prior art.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the requirements of claim 10, and claim 12 has been amended to include the requirements of claim 17, and

Appln. No. 10/789,614
Amendment dated May 1, 2006
Reply to Office Action mailed January 11, 2006

since claims 10 and 17 were indicated as containing allowable subject matter, it is submitted that claims 1 and 12 are in condition for allowance, as well as claims 2 through 5, 11, 13 through 16, and 18, which depend from either claim 1 or claim 12.

Withdrawal of the §102(b) and §103(a) rejection of claims 1 through 5, 11 through 16, and 18 is therefore respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

WOODS, FULLER, SHULTZ & SMITH P.C.



Jeffrey A. Proehl (Reg. No. 35,987)

Customer No. **40,158**

P.O. Box 5027

Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5027

(605)336-3890 FAX (605)339-3357

Date:

May 1, 2006